

Item: 15

REPORT CLASSIFICATION	✓	CATEGORY OF PAPER	✓
Official		Proposes specific action	✓
Official: Sensitive Commercial		Provides assurance	
Official: Sensitive Personal		For information only	

BOARD

1 OCTOBER 2024

Report Title:

Quality Strategy

Purpose of report

To approve the Quality Strategy for the system, following extensive engagement and input from stakeholders and people with lived experience across the North East and Cumbria.

Key points

- Quality strategy underpins our ICB strategy Better Health and Wellbeing For All
- Five strategic themes to enable us, as a system to continue to improve and be 'the best at getting better'
- Focus on reducing harm for the people with health inequalities
- This is the vision for the system underpinned by a quality management system.
- Ensuring a just culture is key.

Risks and issues

The quality strategy delivers the framework to focus on the 5 key themes to improve outcomes for patients. Need to be clear on the jointly approved key priorities for the system.

Assurances

The implementation of the strategy and the agreed outcome measures will be monitored through the Quality and Safety Committee.

Recommendation/action required

These must match the recommendations in the paper itself, use bullet points if there is more than one recommendation.

Acronyms and abbreviations explained

PSIRF- Patient Safety Incident Framework

Sponsor/approving executive director

David Purdue, Chief Nurse, AHP and People Officer

Date approved by executive director

24/09/2024

Report author

David Purdue, Chief Nurse, AHP and People Officer
Sarah Dronsfield, Director of Quality

Link to ICP strategy priorities (please tick all that apply)						
Longer and Healthier Lives						✓
Fairer Outcomes for All						✓
Better Health and Care Services						✓
Giving Children and Young People the Best Start in Life						✓
Relevant legal/statutory issues						
Note any relevant Acts, regulations, national guidelines etc						
Any potential/actual conflicts of interest associated with the paper? (please tick)	Yes		No	✓	N/A	
If yes, please specify						
Equality analysis completed (please tick)	Yes		No		N/A	✓
If there is an expected impact on patient outcomes and/or experience, has a quality impact assessment been undertaken? (please tick)	Yes		No		N/A	✓
Key implications						
Are additional resources required?	No					
Has there been/does there need to be appropriate clinical involvement?	There has been extensive engagement with clinical and multi-professional groups during the development of the quality strategy.					
Has there been/does there need to be any patient and public involvement?	There was public engagement on the strategy in June including questionnaires and support from Healthwatch					
Has there been/does there need to be partner and/or other stakeholder engagement?	There has been extensive engagement with services and providers across the region, including local authorities and at the adult social care providers forum. It has also been shared through the system wide quality group.					
Has there been/does there need to be appropriate finance or digital involvement?	Yes					